

Element Materials Technology 662 Cromwell Avenue St Paul, MN 55114-1720 USA P 651 645 3601 F 651 659 7348 T 888 786 7555 info.stpaul@element.com element.com

SUMMARY OF STATIC LOAD TESTING OF HOIST BUCKETS

Ergodyne Date of Report: September 27, 2016

Attn: Mr. Brent Velenchenko Summary Date: January 24, 2017

1021 Bandana Blvd East Author: John Peterfeso

Suite 220 Report Number: ESP023598P.1-S R2

Saint Paul, MN 55108 Client Purchase Order Number: 16-02-16

Revision Date: February 3, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

John Peterfeso

Product Evaluation Engineer Product Evaluation Department

Phone: (651) 659-7311

Reviewed By,

Matthew Rootes

Associate Test Engineer

Product Evaluation Department

Phone: (651) 659-7429

It is our policy to retain components and sample remnants for a minimum of 30 days from the report date, after which time they may be discarded. The data herein represents only the item(s) tested. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without prior permission of Element Materials Technology.

EAR Controlled Data: This document contains technical data whose export and re-export/retransfer is subject to control by the U.S. Department of Commerce under the Export Administration Act and the Export Administration Regulations. The Department of Commerce's prior written approval is required for the export or re-export/retransfer of such technical data to any foreign person, foreign entity or foreign organization whether in the United States or abroad.

This project shall be governed exclusively by the General Terms and Conditions of Sale and Performance of Testing Services by Element Materials Technology. In no event shall Element Materials Technology be liable for any consequential, special or indirect loss or any damages above the cost of the work.



INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of static load tests conducted on samples of hoist buckets. The testing was authorized by Mr. Brent Velenchenko of Ergodyne on August 10, 2016. The testing and data analysis were completed August 18, 2016.

OBJECTIVE

The scope of our work was limited to performing static load tests on the hoist buckets and providing a report of the results.

CONCLUSIONS

Seven (7) of the Hoist Buckets held their required load in various orientations for 60 minutes.

One (1) Bucket Top Model #5938 labeled A held its required load in Upside Down Load test for 60 minutes.

One (1) Bucket Top Model #5937 labeled C delivered on September 08 2016 held it's required in Upside Down Load test for 60 minutes.

See Test Results section for individual sample data.

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION

Nine (9) hoist buckets were submitted. The following is a list of the supplied information about each bag.

- One (1) Model #5940 Leather Bottom Bucket-Swivel; Test weight 750 pounds static
- One (1) Model #5945 XL Leather Bottom Bucket Swivel; Test weight 750 pounds static
- One (1) Model #5930 Leather Bottom Bucket; Test weight 750 pounds static
- One (1) Model #5935 XL Leather Bottom Bucket; Test weight 750 pounds static
- One (1) Model #5970 Large Poly Bucket-Swivel; Test weight 500 pounds static
- One (1) Model #5975 Large Poly Bucket; Test weight 500 pounds static
- One (1) Model #5960 Canvas Leather Bottom Bucket-D-rings; Test weight 750 static

Four (6) Bucket Tops were submitted for testing. These were used during the Upside Down Load test. The following is a list of the supplied information about each top.

- Two (2) Model #5938 Top; Test weight 300 pounds static, Labeled A and B
- Two (2) Model #5937 Top; Test weight 300 pounds static, Labeled A and B
- Two (2) Model # 5937 Top; Test weight 300 pounds static delivered on September 08 2016, Labeled C and D



METHODS

Static Load Test of Hoist Buckets

Upright Load Test

The buckets were suspended in their normal upright positions using the metal ring or swivel integrated into the lifting strap. When no metal ring or swivel was integrated a clevis was used to suspend the buckets by lifting strap. Each test consisted of a static load for 60 minutes. Each bucket was loaded with weight specified by manufacture before being suspended. Following each test, the bags were inspected for damage.

Upside Down Load Test

The buckets were suspended upside down using a steel disc and lifting eye inserted through the bottom of the bucket. Model #5940 and Model #5945 were loaded with 300 pounds. A bucket top (Model #5938) for bucket Model #5940 was attached before suspending the load. Again a bucket top (Model #5937) for bucket Model #5945 was attached before suspending the load. The buckets were suspended for 60 minutes. Following each test, the buckets were inspected for damage.



TEST DATA

Bag/Pouch Specimen	Static Load	Orientation	Attachment Method	Duration	Failure Mode	Damage
Model #5940	750 lb	Upright	Swivel	60 Minutes	Passed Test	No noticeable
Model #5940T Top #5938 A	300 lb	Upside Down	Lifting Eye Through Bottom of Bag	60 Minutes	Passed Test	No noticeable
Model #5945	750 lb	Upright	Swivel	60 Minutes	Passed Test	Ripping at basket leather strap mount
Model #5945T Top #5937 C	300 lb	Upside Down	Lifting Eye Through Bottom of Bag	60 Minutes	Passed Test	No noticeable
Model #5930	750 lb	Upright	Strap	60 Minutes	Passed Test	Ripping at basket leather strap mount
Model #5935	750 lb	Upright	Strap	60 Minutes	Passed Test	Ripping at basket leather strap mount
Model #5970	500 lb	Upright	Swivel	60 Minutes	Passed Test	No noticeable
Model #5975	500 lb	Upright	Strap	60 Minutes	Passed Test	No noticeable
Model #5960	750 lb	Upright	D-ring	60 Minutes	Passed Test	Ripping at basket leather strap mount

Static Load Test Results

Note: Product samples listed in this summary report represent passing samples <u>only</u>. One or more failing and/or invalid/incomplete results <u>may</u> have been removed from the summary as requested by Ergodyne to specifically summarize approved products according to Ergodyne standards. Please refer to the full test report ESP023598.1 for details on all samples.